



Sh Gurpreet Singh, s/o sh Surinder Singh,
VPO Kolianwali, Tehsil Malout,
Distt Sri Mukatsar Sahib.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Social Justice Empowerment and Minority Department,
SCO-6-7, Phase-1, Mohali.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Social Justice Empowerment and Minority Department,
SCO-6-7, Phase-1, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2376 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Gurpreet Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 26.02.2020 has sought information regarding the order of the director dated 22.02.2021 to cancel the SC certificate of Paramjit Kaur and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Social Justice Empowerment and Minority Department Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information provided after which the appellant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 08.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Sri Muktsar Sahib/Mohali. The respondent is absent.

The Commission has received a copy of a letter dated 14.09.2021 vide which the PIO has sent information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

Having gone through the RTI application and the copy of the information received from the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI has been sufficiently replied to and the information has been provided as per the RTI application.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 05.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jaspreet Singh, S/o Sh Gurmeet Singh,
Village Chak Pali Wala, Tehsil Jalalabad (East),
Distt Fazilka.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Ayurvedic and Yunani Officer,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Ayurvedic and Yunani Officer,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2271 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Jaspreet Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Kuljinder Singh, Suptd. for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 15.02.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of the notice issued to the sellers of ayurvedic/unani/allopathic medical stores, pansari, karyana store for selling medicines without having ayurvedic license and if not issued under which notification – act under which action can be taken against these sellers – eligibility of ayurvedic doctor to run OPD in the absence of AMO and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Ayurvedic And Unani Officer, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information provided after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 15.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant.

The appellant has received the information and is satisfied with the provided information.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 05.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Jaspreet Singh, S/o Sh Gurmeet Singh,
Village Chak Pali Wala, Tehsil Jalalabad (East),
Distt Fazilka.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Registry Board of Ayurvedic and,
Yunani System of Medicine,
Punjab Medical Sikhya Bhawan,
2nd Floor, Sector-69, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Registry Board of Ayurvedic and,
Yunani System of Medicine,
Punjab Medical Sikhya Bhawan,
2nd Floor, Sector-69, Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2269 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Jaspreet Singh as the Appellant
Ms.Vishakha-APIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 15.02.2021 has sought information regarding whether notification Memo No.12/16/2112/3/430570 dated 05.03.2015 and memo No.12/16/2112/3/430638 dated 05.03.2015 are the same for getting registration after ayurvedic diploma – fees being charged more than as approved as per notification No.12/16/1212/430638 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Board of Ayurvedic And Unani Systems of Medicine, Punjab Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information provided after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the first appellate authority on 15.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka/Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 10.03.2021.

The appellant is not satisfied with the reply of the PIO relating to point-2.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to send a copy of the minutes of the Board meeting to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 05.10.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner